JOURNAL of DESIGN THINKING

Rethinking Urban Furniture: A Review of Ecological and User-Centered Approaches for Sustainable Cities

***Meysam Khodashenas*[1], *Fatemeh Taji*[2*]**

[1] Department of Industrial Design, Faculty of Design, Tabriz Islamic Art University, Tabriz, Iran. Email: m.khodashenas@tabriziau.ac.ir
[2] Department of Industrial Design, Faculty of Design, Tabriz Islamic Art University, Tabriz, Iran. Email: fa.taji@tabriziau.ac.ir

[*]**Corresponding author:** Fatemeh Taji

DOI: 10.22059/JDT.2025.396376.1155

Received: *30 May 2025,* ***Revised:*** *28 August 2025,* ***Accepted:*** *20 September 2025,* ***Available Online*** *from 20 September 2025.*

Abstract

Urban furniture and green spaces play a critical role in enhancing the quality of life and shaping sustainable urban environments. However, current design approaches often overlook environmental integration and user needs, leading to fragmented and inefficient urban elements. This study aims to identify principles and propose a user-centered, ecologically integrated framework for designing urban furniture that supports sustainable urban development. Employing a descriptive-analytical methodology supported by both library research and field observations, the study examines how ecological materials, organic forms, renewable energy integration, and climate-responsive strategies can be incorporated into urban furniture design. The findings reveal that attention to recyclability, renewable resources, native materials, environmental aesthetics, and contextual climate adaptation are essential components for designing ecological urban furniture. The proposed framework aligns product design with environmental sustainability and human-centered usability, offering practical guidelines for policymakers, urban designers, and industrial designers seeking to promote healthier and more resilient urban living.

Keywords

Urban Furniture, Sustainable Urban Development, Environmentally Integrated Approach, Sustainable Cities.

Introduction

The rapid urbanization of recent decades, combined with population growth and increasing density in metropolitan areas, has created unprecedented challenges for city planners and designers. Issues such as environmental degradation, resource depletion, air and noise pollution, and biodiversity loss have become deeply intertwined with urban development. As cities struggle to adapt, the need for sustainable approaches that prioritize both environmental health and human well-being becomes increasingly urgent (Septiani et al., 2022). Urban sustainability today is no longer a theoretical aspiration but a pragmatic necessity in the face of intensifying climate and social pressures. One of the essential but often overlooked aspects of sustainable urban development is the design of urban furniture. These elements, ranging from benches, lighting systems, trash receptacles, bus stops, and kiosks, are not merely functional or decorative but constitute the tactile interface between people and public space. They shape behavior, enable rest and interaction, organize movement, and contribute to both aesthetic perception and environmental performance (Kaya et al., 2022). Despite their ubiquity, many current designs of urban furniture are based on outdated paradigms that fail to consider ecological integration or user-centric innovation (Sipahi & Sipahi, 2024). The result is an urban landscape that is often visually fragmented, functionally inefficient, and environmentally harmful.

Designing ecological urban furniture requires a paradigmatic shift toward a holistic approach that considers the full lifecycle of products, their interaction with users, and their impact on the natural environment. This includes not only material selection favoring recyclable, renewable, and low-energy components but also embracing design philosophies that align with environmental aesthetics, local identity, and climate responsiveness (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023). In this context, ecological design is not a stylistic choice but a functional imperative that addresses urban energy needs, reduces heat islands, encourages biodiversity, and promotes environmental consciousness among users. Equally important is the user-centered dimension of furniture design. Urban environments are inherently social systems, where individual and collective experiences are shaped by physical space. The design of street furniture must therefore cater to the physiological, psychological, and social needs of its users. This includes considerations such as ergonomic comfort, accessibility, visual clarity, and cultural relevance (Bumgardner & Nicholls, 2020). User-centered design not only increases the usability and acceptance of urban elements but also fosters a sense of ownership, identity, and emotional connection between people and place.

Integrating ecological and user-centered principles into urban furniture design situates the practice at the intersection of industrial design, urban planning, and sustainability science. Rather than treating these fields as separate, effective urban furniture design requires an interdisciplinary lens that incorporates environmental psychology, materials science, renewable energy engineering, and urban sociology (Roelofs, 2021). By aligning these diverse knowledge systems, designers can move beyond aesthetics and functionality to deliver holistic solutions that support resilient and adaptive cities. Recent examples from cities around the world demonstrate the practical potential of such an approach. Solar-powered benches that allow users to charge their devices, bus stops built from recycled plastic bottles, and artificial trees that absorb carbon dioxide at rates far exceeding natural vegetation exemplify the integration of ecological innovation into everyday urban life (Premier et al., 2022; Shaikhutdinova, 2024). These projects illustrate how urban furniture can act not just as passive infrastructure but as active agents of environmental transformation. However, despite these promising developments, challenges remain. Many cities lack standardized frameworks for sustainable furniture design, and decisions are often made without full consideration of long-term environmental or user impacts. Additionally, in developing countries such as Iran, the implementation of ecological furniture is constrained by limited budgets, inconsistent regulations, and a lack of interdisciplinary collaboration (Mehreganfar & Koleini Mamaghani, 2023). Nevertheless, Iran's rich tradition in vernacular design and abundance of local materials present untapped potential for contextually grounded, ecologically responsible furniture systems.

This study seeks to address these challenges by proposing a framework for designing ecological urban furniture that aligns with the principles of sustainable urban development and is rooted in user-centered design methodology. Drawing upon a descriptive-analytical research method, and supported by literature review and field observations, the study identifies key design principles such as organic form, renewable material usage, climate adaptability, and energy efficiency that inform a comprehensive design strategy. The central contribution of this paper is the articulation of a design model that integrates environmental sustainability with user needs, transforming urban furniture into a medium of ecological communication and public engagement. By grounding design decisions in both ecological science and human-centered insights, the proposed approach offers urban designers, planners, and product developers a structured yet flexible roadmap toward creating urban spaces that are livable, inclusive, and environmentally resilient.

Ultimately, as cities around the world continue to confront the complexities of sustainability, the role of well-designed urban furniture must not be underestimated. These everyday elements, when thoughtfully conceived, have the potential to transform the urban experience, mediate the relationship between humans and nature, and contribute meaningfully to the health and longevity of urban ecosystems (Zivkovic, 2024; Omole et al., 2024). In doing so, urban furniture becomes more than just a physical object; it becomes a carrier of values, a facilitator of interaction, and a catalyst for sustainable transformation.

Theoretical Background and Literature Review

The relationship between humans and the urban environment lies at the heart of sustainable design discourse. Urban spaces are more than physical constructs; they are dynamic socio-ecological systems in which human experience is shaped through interaction with built forms and natural elements. Ian McHarg (2007) emphasizes that the environment is not merely a decorative backdrop to human life, but a vital, living framework one that shapes human identity, nurtures well-being, and requires ethical stewardship. As cities expand and become denser, rethinking the nature of human–environment interaction in urban contexts becomes increasingly essential. Urban ecology posits that humans must coexist with their environments in a balanced and mutually beneficial manner. In practice, this means designing cities and public spaces that are both ecologically sound and psychologically enriching (Register, 1987). The integration of natural elements, sensory stimuli, and environmental affordances into everyday urban life can foster more sustainable behavioral patterns, emotional well-being, and deeper connections to place (Roelofs, 2021). In this context, urban furniture is a key interface between individuals and their environments, a medium that mediates presence, movement, rest, and interaction in public spaces.

Urban furniture, often referred to as *street furniture* or *public furniture*, encompasses the objects installed in public areas such as parks, streets, squares, and transit zones to facilitate human use and social interaction (Kaya et al., 2022). Elements such as benches, lighting, waste bins, bicycle stands, signage, and kiosks are ubiquitous components of urban landscapes. While their functional utility is undeniable, these objects also possess a semiotic and aesthetic dimension, influencing how a space is perceived, navigated, and remembered (Carmona, 2021). Kevin Lynch (1960) famously highlighted how the visual order of urban environments contributes to the formation of mental maps. Furniture elements, by their form, placement, material, and consistency, contribute significantly to this order. In this sense, urban furniture is not merely a passive infrastructure; it is an active participant in shaping the city's image, identity, and memory. Poorly designed or inconsistently placed furniture can disrupt visual harmony, hinder usability, and even reduce a space's psychological comfort. From an industrial design perspective, urban furniture must be seen as a product with multiple layers of performance. It should not only meet basic ergonomic and functional criteria but also reflect cultural values, environmental principles, and inclusive usability. User-centered design (UCD), a central paradigm in contemporary product development, urges designers to involve users throughout the design process to ensure that outcomes align with their expectations, habits, and constraints (Bumgardner & Nicholls, 2020). In the context of urban environments, UCD requires attention to factors such as accessibility for people with disabilities, adaptability to various age groups, comfort in different climate conditions, and visual appeal that resonates with local identity.

Research shows that urban dwellers seek more than just a place to sit or rest; they seek comfort, safety, aesthetic pleasure, and symbolic belonging (Jalilisadrabad et al., 2024). Well-designed urban furniture can promote social interaction, inclusiveness, and a sense of community. Moreover, the physical configuration of urban furniture affects people's behavior in public spaces. For example, seating arrangements can influence whether people sit alone or interact with others. The presence of shelters and shade can increase dwell time, while visibility and transparency can reduce perceived insecurity. These psychological and behavioral implications underscore the need for design decisions grounded in empirical user research and human factors engineering (Lynch, 1960; Kaya et al., 2022). A critical challenge in many urban environments, especially in developing regions, is the absence of coherent design policies or guidelines for public furniture. In the absence of such frameworks, cities often adopt generic, mass-produced furniture that is mismatched with cultural, climatic, or spatial context. This not only diminishes the aesthetic cohesion of the urban landscape but also leads to increased maintenance costs and lower user satisfaction (Yasar, 2023).

In response to these challenges, scholars have emphasized the importance of context-sensitive design. This involves selecting materials, forms, and finishes that harmonize with local environments, using regionally sourced materials, and considering the cultural semantics of forms and colors (Sipahi & Sipahi, 2024). In cities like Hamedan, for example, the use of native stone in furniture design reflects the region's geological identity while offering durability and visual harmony with the surrounding architecture (Roelofs, 2021). Sustainability is another central pillar in the discourse on urban furniture. The use of recyclable or biodegradable materials, modular and repairable designs, and renewable energy integration are strategies that contribute to reducing the environmental footprint of furniture systems (Septiani et al., 2022; Şatıroğlu et al., 2023). These strategies are not only beneficial for the environment but also align with the goals of the circular economy and lifecycle-based design.

Beyond material sustainability, ecological furniture must also address energy efficiency and environmental responsiveness. For instance, incorporating passive cooling techniques, reflective surfaces, or photovoltaic panels into furniture design can help mitigate urban heat islands, improve comfort, and reduce dependency on external power sources (Premier et al., 2022). Such features transform furniture into active components of sustainable urban systems. Urban furniture also contributes to the symbolic and experiential qualities of space. Elements like artistic benches, cultural motifs, and themed lighting can evoke narratives, promote local heritage, and enhance a sense of place (Mehreganfar & Koleini Mamaghani, 2023). In this way, urban furniture serves not only practical but also emotional and cultural functions, especially in culturally rich cities where identity preservation is a key concern. Designing effective and meaningful urban furniture, therefore, requires an interdisciplinary approach that brings together design theory, environmental psychology, material science, and urban policy. It also requires collaborative processes involving designers, municipal authorities, users, and local communities. Participatory design methods can help ensure that outcomes are socially accepted, contextually relevant, and environmentally sound (Omole et al., 2024). To summarize, the literature emphasizes that urban furniture is a crucial point of intersection in the human–environment relationship. When thoughtfully designed, it enhances usability, promotes ecological awareness, reinforces urban identity, and supports social interaction. Conversely, when ignored or poorly designed, it can undermine the very goals of sustainable urban development. Thus, urban furniture must be reimagined not as isolated infrastructure, but as a designed product system, one that aligns human experience with ecological principles in the heart of the city.

Principles of Ecological Urban Furniture Design

Designing urban furniture within the framework of ecological sustainability requires a shift in priorities from merely fulfilling functional or aesthetic goals to addressing environmental, cultural, and human-centered concerns holistically. The ecological crises facing urban environments, including air and water pollution, heat islands, resource scarcity, and biodiversity loss, demand solutions that are embedded in the very materials and forms of public infrastructure (Omole et al., 2024).

One of the core principles of ecological urban furniture is material sustainability. Furniture elements should be made from recyclable, renewable, or biodegradable materials that minimize environmental impact throughout their life cycle (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023). In practice, this includes using wood from certified sustainable forests, recycled metals and plastics, or composites that reduce the need for virgin material extraction. Equally important is the recyclability and modularity of components. Urban furniture should be designed to allow disassembly, repair, and replacement of individual parts without needing full replacement, in alignment with circular design strategies (Yasar, 2023). This approach reduces waste and encourages longer product life cycles while supporting maintenance efficiency for municipalities. Energy integration represents another key design principle. In an era of climate-conscious innovation, urban furniture can contribute to energy resilience by integrating renewable sources such as solar panels or kinetic energy systems. Products like solar-powered benches and smart lamp posts not only reduce reliance on centralized grids but also demonstrate visible environmental commitment (Premier et al., 2022). Beyond materials and energy, form and structure also play ecological roles. Furniture forms should be designed with attention to airflow, shade, water drainage, and surface heat reflection. Organic forms inspired by nature can better blend into green environments and enhance environmental aesthetics, improving users' sense of harmony with nature (Roelofs, 2021). The principle of climate responsiveness further enhances the ecological viability of furniture. In regions with hot climates, for example, materials with high thermal resistance or reflective coatings can improve user comfort and reduce localized heating effects. In cold climates, insulating surfaces and forms that reduce wind exposure enhance usability (Zivkovic, 2024). Water conservation is another crucial concern, particularly in areas with limited rainfall. Furniture should avoid promoting unnecessary water use in maintenance or cleaning and should even assist with passive water collection where applicable, such as in permeable bench surfaces or water-draining pavements integrated into seating zones (Ryzhova et al., 2024). Moreover, ecological urban furniture should support biodiversity. This may include incorporating planters, vertical greenery, or insect habitats into furniture systems. These additions not only contribute to local ecological systems but also improve environmental awareness and emotional connection to nature among users (Lafortezza & Sanesi, 2019).

The cultural and contextual alignment of furniture design is also an ecological principle. Designs that resonate with local materials, forms, traditions, and cultural expressions are more likely to be preserved, appreciated, and maintained. They also reduce the environmental cost associated with importing or adapting foreign designs that lack contextual compatibility (Mehreganfar & Koleini Mamaghani, 2023). Another vital factor is ergonomics and user well-being. While not traditionally seen as an environmental concern, ensuring physical comfort, inclusivity, and accessibility helps reduce the *wear and tear* effect that results from improper use or avoidance of public furniture. Well-used, well-loved furniture is less likely to be vandalized or prematurely discarded (Bumgardner & Nicholls, 2020). Visual coherence and environmental aesthetics contribute to urban identity and psychological sustainability. Harmonizing urban furniture with surrounding natural elements, through form, color, and material tone, enhances the overall legibility of urban space and encourages positive public engagement (Carmona, 2021). In this regard, color theory becomes an essential design consideration. Warm, natural hues tend to foster relaxation and a sense of place, while avoiding overly reflective or artificial tones that may conflict with green spaces or traditional architecture (Roelofs, 2021). Colors that visually integrate with local plants, earth tones, or regional materials improve the emotional resonance of the design. Durability and lifecycle efficiency form another essential dimension. Furniture exposed to extreme weather conditions must maintain its integrity without requiring environmentally damaging coatings or frequent replacements. Selecting robust, weather-resistant materials, especially local ones, minimizes maintenance while reducing carbon footprints (Wang et al., 2023). Furthermore, public participation and behavioral design must be integrated into the development process. Furniture that aligns with users' habits, expectations, and social behaviors is more likely to be adopted and used as intended. Participatory design can uncover hidden ecological and social opportunities, such as enabling citizen composting, integrating shared bike stations, or supporting public education (Zivkovic, 2024). A growing number of cities now embrace smart ecological furniture, which merges digital functions with green technology.

This includes furniture that collects environmental data (e.g., air quality), displays real-time information, or interacts with mobile apps — all while operating on clean energy (Premier et al., 2022). These *intelligent* systems bridge the gap between product design and urban informatics.

Finally, ecological principles in design must be measurable. Establishing criteria such as carbon footprint per unit, recyclability index, energy independence level, and climate compatibility allows municipalities and designers to evaluate and compare solutions scientifically. Quantitative benchmarks promote transparency and continuous improvement (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023). In sum, the design of ecological urban furniture requires a comprehensive, interdisciplinary framework that combines material innovation, environmental consciousness, ergonomic sensitivity, and cultural depth. By adhering to these principles, designers can contribute not only to more sustainable cities but also to healthier, more equitable, and aesthetically enriching public spaces for future generations.

Figure 1 shows a bus stop with a roof covered with plants. This design helps reduce the heat island effect and increase biodiversity in the urban environment.

Figure 1: *Green-roofed bus stop in Utrecht, Netherlands.*

Climatic and Material Considerations in Urban Product Design

In the design of urban furniture, the selection of materials and form must be guided not only by aesthetic and structural criteria but also by the environmental and climatic conditions of the intended context. Furniture exposed to outdoor environments is subject to intense weathering, UV radiation, moisture fluctuations, temperature extremes, and air pollution. Therefore, understanding climate-specific demands is vital for ensuring durability, user comfort, and ecological efficiency (Ryzhova et al., 2024). One of the most critical considerations in this context is material-environment compatibility. Designers must select materials that can withstand local climatic stress while requiring minimal maintenance and environmental impact. For example, in coastal areas, materials must be resistant to humidity, salt corrosion, and mold. In arid zones, UV resistance and thermal stability are paramount. Locally sourced stone, treated wood, weather-resistant composites, or recycled plastics can offer effective, sustainable alternatives based on regional climate needs (Wang et al., 2023).

Using vernacular and indigenous materials not only supports environmental harmony but also contributes to cultural integration. For instance, in Hamedan, Iran, designers have successfully incorporated native mountain stone into the city's benches and landscape elements. This material choice reflects both ecological logic, high durability, and low maintenance, and cultural authenticity (Roelofs, 2021). Material selection must also account for thermal behavior. Materials such as metal, while structurally strong, often absorb and retain excessive heat, making surfaces uncomfortable or even dangerous to touch in hot seasons. Conversely, porous natural stones or treated wood may remain cooler, offering better ergonomic comfort under direct sunlight (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023).

Similarly, in cold climates, materials should not become brittle or uncomfortable to sit or lean on. Incorporating insulating layers or using non-conductive composites can help mitigate cold contact. In regions with frequent rainfall or snow, materials should be resistant to water infiltration, freezing, or rust. Sustainable polymers or sealed hardwoods often perform better in these conditions than untreated metals (Yasar, 2023).

Apart from material durability, form and structure also play a role in environmental adaptation. Sloped surfaces, perforations, and drainage grooves allow water to run off efficiently, preventing accumulation that leads to decay or slipperiness. Moreover, curved or aerodynamic forms reduce wind drag, improving stability and safety in storm-prone areas (Zivkovic, 2024). Designers can also employ biomimetic strategies to shape urban furniture based on local ecological forms. For example, shading structures inspired by tree canopies or benches modeled after organic rock formations can enhance microclimatic benefits such as shade, airflow, and thermal regulation while blending harmoniously into green urban landscapes (Lafortezza & Sanesi, 2019).

Color selection is another subtle yet significant environmental consideration. Dark-colored materials tend to absorb heat, exacerbating urban heat island effects, while lighter or reflective tones help maintain cooler surface temperatures. Additionally, earthy, muted tones tend to integrate more naturally into green and historical urban settings, enhancing visual coherence and reducing visual pollution (Carmona, 2021; Zarie et al., 2024). From a psychological standpoint, color impacts user perception and comfort. Warm tones can evoke familiarity and hospitality, while cool tones may enhance calmness in dense environments. Avoiding stark artificial colors — particularly in historical or ecological zones helps preserve visual harmony and respect for context (Roelofs, 2021). The use of vegetation-integrated furniture also offers significant climatic and aesthetic value. Benches with planters, green walls, or modular urban garden systems not only enhance biodiversity but also contribute to microclimate regulation by providing shade, increasing humidity, and filtering air pollutants (Lynch, 1960; Lafortezza & Sanesi, 2019).

Water features and fountains, when designed efficiently, can also improve microclimates by cooling the surrounding air. However, their implementation must consider water scarcity, evaporation rates, and maintenance. In water-scarce regions, designers might opt for passive cooling features, such as stone surfaces with high heat dissipation or shaded seating under trellised vegetation (Ryzhova et al., 2024). Acoustic performance is another material consideration, especially in high-traffic urban zones. Absorptive materials or forms that diffuse noise can enhance acoustic comfort in parks, squares, and waiting areas. Natural fibers, perforated surfaces, or even living green barriers can reduce urban noise levels, contributing to psychological well-being (Zivkovic, 2024).

Moreover, climate-appropriate design must consider seasonal variability. Furniture that functions well in summer may fail in winter if not designed for adaptability. Modular, mobile, or multi-functional systems can offer year-round usability. For example, retractable canopies, removable cushions, or adjustable shade elements extend utility across seasons (Omole et al., 2024). The intersection of climate and user behavior must also be addressed. In hot climates, users naturally seek shaded or ventilated spots, whereas in cold areas, sunlit seating becomes preferred. Positioning furniture to align with natural sun paths and wind direction enhances passive comfort and reduces energy dependency for artificial intervention (Premier et al., 2022). From a maintenance perspective, climate-aware design reduces long-term costs. Furniture resistant to mold, corrosion, cracking, and fading requires fewer repairs and replacements. This not only preserves aesthetics and safety but also minimizes environmental waste, in line with sustainable life-cycle design principles (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023).

Ultimately, integrating climatic and material intelligence into urban product design ensures that public furniture is not just built to last, but built to belong — to the place, the people, and the planet. Such furniture respects environmental cycles, aligns with local identity, and contributes actively to the comfort and sustainability of urban life.

Ecological Sustainability in Urban Product Systems

Sustainability, as a core principle of contemporary design, entails not only reducing environmental impacts but also enhancing the long-term resilience, adaptability, and integrity of systems in the face of changing conditions (Wang et al., 2024). In the context of urban furniture, sustainability must be understood not merely as a material or energy choice but as a systemic quality — one that emerges from the interaction between design, context, users, and environment (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023). Urban environments are complex ecosystems comprised of physical, biological, social, and infrastructural subsystems. Urban furniture functions as one of these critical subsystems, affecting mobility, interaction, aesthetic experience, microclimate, and identity. When designed sustainably, such furniture can enhance the ecological performance of the city, reducing energy consumption, promoting biodiversity, and fostering a sense of environmental responsibility among citizens (Roelofs, 2021).

The principle of ecological sustainability in design requires responsiveness to both present conditions and future uncertainties. Urban furniture should be designed to tolerate climate fluctuations, resist degradation, and adapt to shifts in user needs or environmental constraints. Flexibility, modularity, and upgradability are thus vital attributes of sustainable product systems (Premier et al., 2022). A sustainable urban product system considers the full life cycle of the furniture, from raw material extraction to end-of-life recovery. This includes minimizing material waste in production, optimizing energy use during fabrication, reducing emissions during distribution, and enabling disassembly and recyclability at the product's end of life (Septiani et al., 2022). Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) methods offer tools to quantify environmental performance and guide informed design decisions.

Moreover, ecological design is inherently contextual. Sustainable design strategies must be grounded in the cultural, geographic, and climatic characteristics of each urban setting. For example, furniture designed for a tropical climate must account for humidity, UV exposure, and heavy rainfall, while products for arid zones must address dust, temperature extremes, and water scarcity (Ryzhova et al., 2024). Integration with urban ecological networks is another feature of sustainable furniture systems. Urban elements should not isolate themselves from green infrastructure but rather enhance its function, such as supporting plant growth, capturing rainwater, or enabling habitat creation for pollinators and birds (Lafortezza & Sanesi, 2019).

Sustainable product systems must also consider socio-cultural dimensions. Furniture that reflects local values, traditions, and aesthetics is more likely to be accepted, cared for, and preserved. This cultural alignment reduces vandalism, increases longevity, and fosters emotional attachment to public spaces, an essential yet often overlooked component of sustainability (Mehreganfar & Koleini Mamaghani, 2023). Additionally, designers should prioritize low-impact production methods, including digital fabrication, additive manufacturing, and the use of reclaimed or biobased materials. These processes reduce emissions, shorten supply chains, and facilitate customization to local needs, thereby strengthening the environmental performance of the final product (Wang et al., 2023).

One of the challenges in achieving ecological sustainability lies in the institutional and regulatory gaps that exist. Many cities lack frameworks or performance criteria for sustainable furniture. Without clear standards, procurement often defaults to low-cost, short-lifecycle options that contradict ecological goals (Yasar, 2023; Zhang & Sun, 2024). Establishing design guidelines that mandate recyclability, material sourcing, and energy efficiency is essential for long-term transformation. A key opportunity lies in integrating renewable energy systems into furniture. Benches with photovoltaic panels, lamp posts with wind turbines, and kiosks powered by solar or kinetic energy can supply lighting, charging, and data transmission functions with minimal environmental impact. These products not only reduce infrastructure load but also serve as a model of sustainability for the public (Premier et al., 2022). Ecological sustainability also involves waste minimization and closed-loop design. Urban furniture should generate minimal waste during its life cycle and support a regenerative material flow. For instance, discarded plastic can be transformed into modular bench components, while worn wooden surfaces can be refinished or repurposed (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023).

Moreover, sustainable systems must address resilience — the capacity to recover from damage or disruption. Urban furniture exposed to extreme weather, vandalism, or usage stress should be designed for quick repair or adaptation. Modular and interchangeable parts allow for efficient maintenance and reduce the environmental burden of full replacements (Zivkovic, 2024).

Behavioral and psychological dimensions of sustainability must also be incorporated. Furniture that encourages pro-environmental behavior, such as recycling, walking, or public transport use, enhances the systemic value of design. Strategic placement of furniture near green corridors or public amenities can reinforce ecological lifestyles (Bumgardner & Nicholls, 2020). A sustainable system must also foster interoperability with other urban infrastructure. Smart furniture equipped with sensors can collect environmental data (e.g., air quality, noise levels) and integrate with urban management platforms to support real-time monitoring and optimization of city systems (Omole et al., 2024). Finally, true ecological sustainability must be measurable, auditable, and updatable. Designers and municipalities must work together to define Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) for furniture systems, such as carbon footprint per unit, maintenance frequency, user satisfaction, and recyclability index. These metrics help refine future designs and support accountability. In conclusion, designing urban furniture through the lens of ecological sustainability requires a systemic, interdisciplinary, and life-cycle-oriented approach. It is no longer sufficient for public products to be merely functional or aesthetically pleasing—they must actively contribute to the environmental, social, and cultural vitality of cities. By adopting systems thinking in design, urban furniture can evolve from passive artifacts to active agents of sustainable transformation.

Design Guidelines and Strategies for Sustainable Furniture

Designing sustainable urban furniture requires the articulation of a clear set of guidelines that align environmental responsibility with functional performance and user-centered values. These guidelines must be grounded in principles of ecological design, informed by empirical evidence, and adaptable to the diverse contexts in which public furniture is deployed (Septiani et al., 2022). The first essential strategy involves prioritizing recycled and renewable materials. Using materials such as recycled plastics, certified wood, or low-energy composites reduces the ecological footprint of furniture production and extends product life cycles. In particular, incorporating post-consumer materials transforms waste into valuable input, aligning with the principles of circular economy (Wang et al., 2023).

Secondly, energy-conscious design must be integrated into the structure and function of urban furniture. Solar panels can be embedded into shelters, benches, or kiosks to provide lighting, device charging, or Wi-Fi access. Wind energy or kinetic harvesting solutions may also supplement power needs, especially in pedestrian-heavy zones (Premier et al., 2022). A third guideline relates to organic and biomimetic form-making. Furniture inspired by natural structures, such as tree canopies or flowing rock forms, creates visual harmony and supports passive environmental functions like shade creation, water drainage, and thermal regulation. These forms contribute to the user's aesthetic experience while improving ecological performance (Roelofs, 2021; Shilky et al., 2024). Another strategic approach is climate-responsive adaptability. Designers must consider sun exposure, prevailing winds, precipitation patterns, and seasonal variation when selecting materials and designing forms. Modular, adjustable, and locally customizable furniture systems allow for functional resilience in changing climatic conditions (Ryzhova et al., 2024). In addition, minimizing water usage during both the production process and the product's lifecycle is critical. Designers should favor water-conserving materials and surface treatments, while also exploring designs that incorporate water-capture functions for local irrigation or passive cooling (Zivkovic, 2024). Material transparency and traceability should be promoted, ensuring that all components used in the furniture are documented for recyclability, toxicity, and environmental impact. This supports informed procurement decisions and aids municipalities in managing end-of-life strategies (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023). To enhance sustainability further, furniture should be designed for disassembly and modular replacement. This ensures that worn-out parts can be easily repaired or substituted, reducing waste and lowering long-term costs for urban management (Yasar, 2023).

User interaction and behavioral cues must also be considered in design strategies. Furniture that encourages eco-friendly behaviors, such as recycling bins integrated into seating areas or digital displays that share environmental information, can educate and empower citizens to participate in sustainability efforts (Bumgardner & Nicholls, 2020). From an urban integration standpoint, multifunctional design increases efficiency and usability. For instance, a single furniture unit may serve as a seat, planter, shade provider, and energy source simultaneously. This not only saves space and cost but also enhances the aesthetic richness and experiential quality of the public realm (Carmona, 2021). Lastly, the implementation of innovative technologies in sustainable furniture design allows for dynamic interaction between users and urban systems. Internet of Things (IoT)-enabled components can monitor usage, energy production, environmental conditions, or maintenance needs, providing real-time data for urban planners and improving service delivery (Omole et al., 2024). In conclusion, sustainable urban furniture must be conceived as a fusion of ecological ethics, user-centered thinking, and technological innovation. By adhering to these integrated design strategies, cities can move toward public environments that are resilient, inclusive, and environmentally regenerative. Figure 2 is an example of a bus stop with a unique design and the use of natural forms and colors, which contribute to its visual appeal and harmony with the surrounding environment.

Figure 2: *Bus stop with organic design and use of natural colors.*

Methodology

Case Studies and Best Practices

Analyzing real-world examples of sustainable urban furniture offers valuable insights into how ecological principles and user-centered design can converge to create functional, aesthetically pleasing, and environmentally responsive solutions. The following case studies highlight best practices where design innovation, material efficiency, and contextual sensitivity align with the objectives of ecological urban development. One notable example is the Solar Phone Kiosk project initiated in London. These structures function as compact phone-charging stations powered by rooftop solar panels. From an industrial design standpoint, the project demonstrates efficient integration of renewable energy with minimal spatial footprint. The interface is intuitive, providing a seamless experience for users while addressing the practical challenge of energy access in public spaces (Premier et al., 2022).

The use of existing urban typologies repurposed telephone booths enhances contextual familiarity while reducing the need for entirely new infrastructure. Similarly, the Recycled Bottle Bus Stop developed by Aaron Skiles in Kentucky reflects the innovative reuse of waste materials. Composed primarily of transparent soda bottles and featuring solar-powered LED lighting, this bus stop offers a combination of sustainability and safety. The transparent walls improve visibility, enhancing user security, while the renewable energy component reduces operational costs and ecological impact (Septiani et al., 2022).

The case illustrates how industrial design can transform low-cost, reclaimed materials into valuable public infrastructure when guided by clear ecological principles. Another example is the solar-integrated multifunctional bench, which provides seating, lighting, and device charging. These benches often incorporate solar panels into their canopies or seat backs and are typically constructed from recycled or weather-resistant materials. Functionally, they address multiple user needs while reducing pressure on municipal energy systems. Their modular construction allows for quick repair or relocation, supporting circular product life cycles (Şatıroğlu et al., 2023).

In several cities, urban lamp posts powered by wind turbines represent hybrid solutions that combine multiple renewable sources to support energy autonomy. These lamp posts are often equipped with motion sensors and adaptive lighting systems to optimize energy use. From a systems design perspective, these products show how urban furniture can be integrated into smart city infrastructure while maintaining ecological integrity (Omole et al., 2024). Artificial trees for carbon dioxide absorption, pioneered by researchers at Columbia University, represent a more experimental approach to ecological urban furniture. While still in early deployment, these structures promise a high impact on air purification, absorbing carbon dioxide at rates far higher than natural trees. Their form mimics organic silhouettes, helping them visually integrate into green spaces. More importantly, they reflect a paradigm shift in which furniture functions not only socially or aesthetically but also biologically (Ryzhova et al., 2024). Additionally, urban shelters with green roofs offer a range of ecological and social benefits. These structures reduce runoff, lower ambient temperatures, and contribute to biodiversity while also improving the psychological quality of urban space through biophilic design elements. Their design exemplifies how passive environmental strategies such as shade and thermal regulation can be embedded into the formal logic of urban furniture (Lafortezza & Sanesi, 2019An important aspect in many of these cases is user interaction. For example, many solar-powered benches are equipped with mobile interfaces or NFC tags that allow users to access local services, report damage, or monitor energy usage. These interactive features improve the utility and engagement of furniture, transforming users from passive recipients to active participants in sustainable urban living (Bumgardner & Nicholls, 2020).

Figure 3: *Smart solar bench with the ability to charge electronic devices.*

Moreover, the scalability and replicability of these case studies are crucial for their success as best practices. Products designed with modular components, standard connections, and easy-to-maintain protocols are more likely to be adopted across various urban contexts. Cities seeking cost-effective yet impactful sustainability measures can benefit from these prefabricated, adaptable models (Yasar, 2023). Each of these cases reveals how ecological urban furniture is evolving from isolated design artifacts into integrated product-service systems. They perform multiple functions, communicate ecological values, and operate across spatial and temporal scales. Most importantly, they exemplify the fusion of product design, renewable technology, and user-centered interaction in the public realm (Zivkovic, 2024).

In conclusion, the reviewed examples demonstrate that best practices in sustainable urban furniture are characterized by thoughtful material selection, multifunctionality, contextual responsiveness, and ecological innovation. They also underline the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration between industrial designers, urban planners, environmental engineers, and end-users in producing outcomes that are not only functional and sustainable but also culturally meaningful and socially inclusive. Figure 3 is an example of an urban bench that uses solar energy to charge electronic devices. This type of urban furniture represents the combination of technology and sustainability in the design of public spaces.

Table 1 provides a comparative overview of selected examples of ecological urban furniture, illustrating their key design attributes, environmental contributions, and relevance to different sections of the proposed design framework.

Table 1: *Comparative Overview of Selected Ecological Urban Furniture Examples.*

Case / Image	Design Features	Sustainability Functions	Suggested Section in Article
Smart Solar Bench 	Minimalist form, solar panels, USB/device charging ports	Renewable energy use, user engagement reduce grid load	Case Studies and Best Practices
Green-Roof Bus Shelter (Utrecht, Netherlands) 	Vegetated rooftop, integrated structure with seating and signage	Biodiversity support, heat island mitigation, stormwater absorption	Principles of Ecological Urban Furniture Design
Organic-Form Bus Shelter 	Curved shapes, natural color palette, contextual integration with surroundings	Visual sustainability, local identity, psychological comfort	Design Guidelines and Strategies for Sustainable Furniture

Additional Case Studies

Case Study 4: Factory Street Furniture – Soca and Serpentine Benches

Factory Street Furniture, based in the UK, offers a line of contemporary urban benches that combine sustainability, modularity, and aesthetic precision. The *Soca* bench is designed with a backless steel frame and seating surface made from hardwood or FSC-certified timber, with an emphasis on weather resistance and industrial elegance.

Its Corten steel base allows for organic weathering, reducing maintenance while creating a warm-toned, rustic visual effect ideal for naturalistic settings. The *Serpentine* bench features an ergonomic, curved form that promotes comfort while discouraging skateboarding, making it particularly well-suited to high-traffic urban environments. These products reflect a synthesis of ecological material use, modular assembly for easy maintenance, and contextual visual integration.

Figure 4: *Factory Street Furniture – "Soca" and "Serpentine" Benches.*

Case Study 5: IOTA Garden – Bespoke Steel Planters and Seating

IOTA Garden specializes in custom-designed (bespoke) urban furniture that integrates steel planters with functional seating. Their work demonstrates the value of site-specific solutions, particularly for high-end public environments such as transit hubs, commercial plazas, and institutional campuses. Using materials such as stainless steel, powder-coated galvanized steel, or Corten, IOTA's installations combine longevity with minimal maintenance. These combinations of planter and bench create multi-functional urban elements that enhance environmental aesthetics, support vegetation, and offer ergonomic utility. The fusion of greenery with seating fosters biophilic interaction in otherwise hardscape urban settings.

Figure 5: *IOTA Garden.*

Case Study 6: UrbanSpec – Modular Street Furniture Series (City³)

UrbanSpec, developed by Streetspace in the UK, offers a wide range of modular street furniture under its *City³* series. This line includes benches, planters, waste bins, and cycle stands, all of which share a unified visual language and adaptable framework. The combination of steel frames and hardwood slats provides structural integrity alongside tactile warmth. The modularity of the City³ series enables municipalities to assemble site-appropriate configurations from standard components, reducing costs and supporting long-term adaptability. The use of recyclable materials and a five-year warranty further reflects the brand's commitment to ecological performance and lifecycle efficiency.

Figure 6: *Modular Street Furniture Series (City³).*

In exploring ecological and user-centered approaches to urban furniture, three contemporary examples—Factory Street Furniture, IOTA Garden's bespoke planters and benches, and UrbanSpec's modular City³ series, offer distinct yet complementary strategies aligned with the goals of sustainable urban development.

Factory Street Furniture emphasizes the integration of durable, low-maintenance materials with thoughtful ergonomic design. Their *Soca* and *Serpentine* benches employ Corten steel and FSC-certified timber, reflecting a strong commitment to ecological sustainability. The natural weathering of Corten eliminates the need for synthetic coatings, and the modular nature of their benches supports circular design through easy maintenance and part replacement. From a user-centered perspective, the gently curved forms offer physical comfort and discourage unwanted behaviors such as skateboarding, while optional lighting features enhance nighttime usability and safety. However, these products are offered in predefined models, offering moderate flexibility in customization.

In contrast, IOTA Garden's approach revolves around bespoke, site-specific furniture solutions that fuse functional seating with green infrastructure. Their designs incorporate recycled or recyclable metals such as galvanized steel and Corten, supporting longevity and low environmental impact. What distinguishes IOTA's work is its seamless integration of planters and seating, contributing not only to material sustainability but also to urban biodiversity and biophilic design. These green elements create inviting public environments that foster psychological comfort and a closer connection to nature. The user-centered benefits are evident in the high level of customization and adaptability to client needs. Nonetheless, since each piece is tailor-made, there may be limitations in rapid scalability or standardization. UrbanSpec's City³ series offers a different strategy, focusing on scalability, system consistency, and modularity. The product line includes benches, bins, planters, and bike stands, designed with a unifying visual language that simplifies urban navigation and supports coherent spatial identity. From an ecological standpoint, these elements are made from recyclable steel and timber, supported by ISO environmental certifications. The system's fully modular nature not only allows for flexible deployment and reconfiguration across urban contexts but also simplifies maintenance and extends product lifespan.

User-centered features such as ergonomic forms, intuitive layouts, and demographic inclusivity are embedded in the base design. Though it offers less visual richness or biophilic integration than IOTA's solutions, UrbanSpec excels in its urban system compatibility and functional clarity. Together, these case studies illuminate the diverse pathways through which ecological principles and human-centered values can be embedded into urban furniture design. While Factory Street Furniture balances industrial sustainability with ergonomic form, IOTA Garden promotes ecological aesthetics and site-based adaptability, and UrbanSpec demonstrates the power of modular, standardizable systems in shaping responsive and inclusive urban environments.

Table 2: *Comparative Overview of Case Studies.*

Criteria	Factory Street Furniture	IOTA Garden	UrbanSpec (City³ Series)
Material Sustainability	FSC timber, Corten steel	Recyclable Corten & galvanized steel	Recyclable hardwood & steel (ISO cert.)
Biodiversity Integration	Not featured	Integrated planters support greenery	Optional planters available
Modular / Repairable Design	Yes – replaceable components	Moderate – bespoke per site	Fully modular and scalable
Ergonomic Features	Curved, anti-skate forms	Site-based comfort via customization	Standardized inclusive design
Customization Level	Medium – predefined models	High – fully bespoke	Medium – configurable components
Visual Integration / Style	Warm-toned, industrial modern	Biophilic and site-sensitive	Minimalist and system-consistent
User Interaction Enhancements	Optional lighting and safety	Green seating zones, biophilic appeal	Clear layouts and urban legibility
System Compatibility / Scalability	Moderate	Low (customized per project)	High – plug-and-play modularity

Conclusion

This study has critically reviewed the principles, challenges, and emerging strategies involved in the design of ecological and user-centered urban furniture. By synthesizing current literature, theoretical perspectives, and real-world case studies, the review highlights the convergence of sustainability imperatives with human-centered design methodologies in the context of public urban environments. Rather than treating ecological and ergonomic values as separate domains, the findings suggest a need for a systemic, interdisciplinary design framework structured around three interconnected pillars:

1. Ecological Material Intelligence – the use of recyclable, renewable, and low-impact materials aligned with regional climate and lifecycle performance;

2. User-Centered Functionality – design decisions based on ergonomic comfort, behavioral patterns, inclusivity, and contextual social needs;

3. Adaptive System Integration – modularity, scalability, and compatibility with urban infrastructure that enables long-term adaptability and maintenance.

The comparative case studies further illustrate how these pillars can be operationalized through diverse yet complementary strategies: Factory Street Furniture emphasizes material durability and ergonomic clarity, IOTA Garden integrates biophilic design with custom site adaptation, and UrbanSpec advances modularity and urban system alignment. Collectively, these cases show that sustainable urban furniture is not a fixed typology, but a dynamic interface that mediates environmental, social, and infrastructural systems. For scholars and practitioners, this review advocates for a shift from isolated product development toward system-based thinking in urban furniture design.

Future research should focus on developing performance-based assessment models that quantify the environmental, psychological, and social impacts of urban furniture over time. Additionally, the integration of smart technologies, participatory design methods, and region-specific design templates may enhance the adaptability and relevance of future interventions. Ultimately, the study reframes ecological urban furniture not merely as a supporting element in public space but as an active design medium for advancing sustainability goals, fostering inclusive public life, and reinforcing urban identity in an age of environmental urgency.

References

Bumgardner, M. S., & Nicholls, D. L. (2020). *Sustainable practices in furniture design: A literature study on customization, biomimicry, competitiveness, and product communication*. Forests, 11(12), 1277. https://doi.org/10.3390/f11121277

Carmona, M. (2021). *Public places urban spaces: The dimensions of urban design (3rd ed.)*. Routledge.

McHarg, I. L. (2007). *To heal the earth: selected writings of Ian L. McHarg.* Island Press.

Jalilisadrabad, S., Sattari, M., & Jalili Sadr Abad, S. (2024). *Urban furniture and its design considerations in urban spaces.* Urban Design Discourse: A Review of Contemporary Literatures and Theories, 5(4), p. 55–66.

Kaya, H. S., Erdem Kaya, M., Serdar Yakut, E., Cicek, M., & Guler Tozluoglu, E. (2022). *An interdisciplinary urban furniture design model*. A|Z ITU Journal of the Faculty of Architecture, 19, p. 721–740. https://doi.org/10.5505/itujfa.2022.04895

Lafortezza, R., & Sanesi, G. (2019). *Nature-based solutions: Settling the issue of sustainable urbanization*. Environmental Research, 172, p. 394–398. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.02.045

Lynch, K. (1960). *The image of the city*. MIT Press.

Mehreganfar, M., & Koleini Mamaghani, N. (2023). *Development of urban furniture design inspired by nature; Case study: Street benches*. Journal of Iranian Architecture & Urbanism (JIAU), 14(2), p. 143–158.

Omole, F. O., Olajiga, O. K., & Olatunde, T. M. (2024). *Sustainable urban design: A review of eco-friendly building practices and community impact*. Engineering Science & Technology Journal, 5(3), p. 1020–1030.

Premier, A., GhaffarianHoseini, A., & GhaffarianHoseini, A. (2022). *Solar-powered smart urban furniture: Preliminary investigation on limits and potentials of current designs*. Smart and Sustainable Built Environment, 11(2), p. 334–345. https://doi.org/10.1108/SASBE-05-2021-0086

Register, R. (1987). *Ecocity Berkeley: Building cities for a healthy future*. North Atlantic Books.

Roelofs, J. (2021). *Building and designing with nature: Urban design*. In The Earthscan Reader in Sustainable Cities, Routledge, p. 234–250.

Ryzhova, I., Antypenko, Y., Yenshuieva, T., & Pavlenko, T. (2024). *Urbo-ecological characteristics of the formation of green architecture in the conditions of sustainable development*. Current Problems of Architecture and Urban Planning, p. 163–177.

Septiani, M., Putri, N., Verma, G., & Sasongko, N. A. (2022). *Eco-design practice towards sustainable furniture: A review*. In IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science. IOP Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1108/1/012059

Shaikhutdinova, S. (2024). *Green architecture and sustainability strategies in the context of urbanization*. Journal of Environmental Architecture, p. 6–7.

Shilky, S., Uperti, M., Saikia, P., Ekka, P., & Kumar, A. (2024). *Nature-based solutions and ecological urban planning and design for sustainable urban environments*. In A. Kumar et al. (Eds.), Earth Observation in Urban Monitoring, Elsevier, p. 339–358.

Sipahi, S., & Sipahi, M. (2024). *Raw material stage assessment of seating elements as urban furniture and eco-model proposals*. Sustainability, 16(10), 4163. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16104163

Şatıroğlu, E., Dinçer, D., & Korgavuş, B. (2023). *Urban furniture in the context of sustainable materials*. Kent Akademisi, 16(1), p. 566–576.

Wang, D., Xu, P. Y., An, B. W., & Guo, Q. P. (2024). *Urban green infrastructure: Bridging biodiversity conservation and sustainable urban development through adaptive management approach*. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 12, 1440477. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2024.1440477

Wang, Y., Liu, C., Zhang, X., & Zeng, S. (2023). *Research on sustainable furniture design based on waste textiles recycling*. Sustainability, 15(4), 3601. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043601

Yasar, D. (2023). *Urban furniture in the framework of economic, social, and environmental sustainability*. Sustainable Cities Review, 9, p. 74–80.

Zarie, E., Adibhesami, M. A., Pourjafar, M. R., Sepehri, B., & Karimi, H. (2024). *A strategy for giving urban public green spaces a third dimension: A case study of Qasrodasht, Shiraz*. Nature-Based Solutions, 5, 100102. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbsj.2024.100102

Zhang, Z., & Sun, Q. (2024). *Integrated design methods for sustainable public seating in urban communities—A Shanghai case study*. Sustainability, 16(20), 9096. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16209096

Zivkovic, J. (2024). *Ecological urban design: Concepts, approaches, education*. Journal of Urban Sustainability, p. 47–55.